

MOODY'S

INVESTORS SERVICE

Credit Opinion: Denizbank A.S.

Global Credit Research - 06 Mar 2015

Istanbul, Turkey

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Negative(m)
Bank Deposits	Ba2/NP
Bank Financial Strength	D-
Baseline Credit Assessment	ba3
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	ba2
Parent: Sberbank	
Outlook	Negative
Bank Deposits -Fgn Curr	Ba2/NP
Bank Deposits -Dom Curr	Ba1/NP
NSR Bank Deposits -Dom Curr	Aa1.ru/--
Bank Financial Strength	D
Baseline Credit Assessment	ba2
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	ba2
Senior Unsecured	Ba1
Bkd Subordinate	Ba2
Bkd Other Short Term	(P)NP

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Irakli Pipia/London	44.20.7772.5454
Simone Zampa/London	
Yves Lemay/London	
Firat Bayraktar/London	

Key Indicators

Denizbank A.S. (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]9-14	[3]12-13	[3]12-12	[4]12-11	[4]12-10	Avg.
Total Assets (TRY million)	93,744.8	79,667.8	56,494.6	44,756.3	33,853.2	[5]29.0
Total Assets (USD million)	41,101.7	37,080.7	31,654.9	23,698.1	21,996.9	[5]16.9
Tangible Common Equity (TRY million)	7,109.9	6,356.6	5,101.5	4,736.8	3,632.8	[5]18.3
Tangible Common Equity (USD million)	3,117.3	2,958.6	2,858.4	2,508.1	2,360.5	[5]7.2
Net Interest Margin (%)	4.1	4.5	5.1	4.8	6.1	[6]4.9
PPI / Average RWA (%)	2.7	3.5	3.0	3.4	4.4	[7]2.7
Net Income / Average RWA (%)	1.2	1.5	1.3	2.6	2.1	[7]1.2
(Market Funds - Liquid Assets) / Total Assets (%)	14.3	15.1	7.2	9.0	10.4	[6]11.2
Core Deposits / Average Gross Loans (%)	65.6	73.1	77.4	72.3	72.7	[6]72.2
Tier 1 Ratio (%)	7.6	8.7	9.2	10.5	10.9	[7]7.6
Tangible Common Equity / RWA (%)	8.1	8.4	8.7	10.4	10.7	[7]8.1
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	51.1	47.5	47.1	49.4	45.5	[6]48.1
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	3.3	2.8	3.5	2.8	4.4	[6]3.4
Problem Loans / (Equity + Loan Loss Reserves) (%)	25.0	22.3	21.5	17.1	24.6	[6]22.1

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel III - transitional phase-in; LOCAL GAAP [3] Basel II; LOCAL GAAP [4] Basel I; LOCAL GAAP [5] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on LOCAL GAAP reporting periods [6] LOCAL GAAP reporting periods have been used for average calculation [7] Basel III - transitional phase-in & LOCAL GAAP reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

Denizbank A.S. (Denizbank)'s Ba2/Not-Prime local-currency local currency deposit ratings are based on the bank's ba3 baseline credit assessment (BCA) and our assumptions of high parental support from majority shareholder Sberbank (FC Deposits Ba2/Debt Ba1; BFSR D/ba2), which provides one notch of uplift to deposit ratings.

We assign a standalone bank financial strength rating (BFSR) of D- to Denizbank, equivalent to a BCA of ba3. The standalone rating reflects Denizbank's (1) established market position with an emphasis on corporate and SME lending and some specialisation in agricultural and project finance and; (2) adequate recurring earnings capacity and net profits. However, the standalone rating is constrained by (1) very lean capitalisation in light of historically very rapid, albeit declining, loan growth, (2) the bank's moderate asset-quality; and (3) comparatively tighter liquidity indicators.

Due to the ownership by Russia's Sberbank the bank is covered by the EU sanctions affecting its long-term borrowing capacity in the European markets. However, it remains exempt from US sanctions.

Rating Drivers

- Leaner core capitalisation compared to peers
- Declining profitability reflecting a challenging operating environment
- Adequate liquidity profile
- Moderate asset quality expected to deteriorate further

Rating Outlook

The bank's supported ratings carry negative outlook driven by the similar rating action on the parent. Its standalone rating remains on stable outlook.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Currently we do not envisage upward pressure on the ratings, as reflected in the negative outlook. However, in the medium term, (1) generating a stronger core capital position, (2) sustainable growth trends funded by stable funding, and (3) improvement in the domestic operating environment could positively influence Denizbank's ratings.

An upgrade of Sberbank's or Turkey's ratings could translate into higher degree of support incorporated in Denizbank's ratings, though, given the negative outlook on these ratings, Moody's views this occurrence as unlikely in the near-term rating horizon.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Downward pressure on the standalone rating could develop in case of (1) further deterioration in core capital and/or a material increase in funding dependence on the parent (2) a material decline in profitability; (3) greater-than-expected deterioration in asset quality; or (4) significant changes in Denizbank's strategy resulting in an increase in the bank's risk appetite.

The long-term ratings could be downgraded as a result of a lowering of the standalone rating and/or the parental support assumption from Sberbank.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

LEANER CORE CAPITALISATION COMPARED TO PEERS

As at September-2014 Denizbank's consolidated Basel III Tier 1 and capital adequacy ratios stood at 8.1% and 12.7%, comparing poorly to its peers as well as the system averages of 13.5% and 15.9% respectively.

The bank had a declining trend in capitalisation due to the historic growth in loan book outpacing internal capital generation and increased regulatory risk weights in unsecured consumer loans. As of the latest trends the bank moderated its loan growth reversing this trend.

Nevertheless, this capital constraint places the bank at a disadvantage to most of its peers that have more leeway for future growth and market share expansion.

Denizbank has subordinated debt from Dexia Credit Local (Baa2/Prime-2, Negative; E/ca, stable) and from Sberbank equating to 4% of its September-2014 consolidated total liabilities - with maturities in 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2023&4. We believe that without periodic capital injections from Sberbank, the bank's franchise expansion plans would be challenged, In light of this the bank is planning additional Tier 1 injection in 2015.

DECLINING PROFITABILITY REFLECTING A CHALLENGING OPERATING ENVIRONMENT

As at September-2014, Denizbank's consolidated net profits (BRSA) decreased by 11% year-on-year to TRY 777 million - compared to 5% decrease reported by the banking system. Partly due to a 28% year-on-year balance sheet growth, net interest income increased by 15% to TRY 2.6 billion and net fees and commissions income increased by 39% to TRY 740 million. Although these profitability gains are mainly offset by TRY 406 million losses on trading activities. We also note that provisions for loans have decreased by 19% to TRY 667 million.

We expect Denizbank's profitability to decline further because of: (1) a material slowdown in real GDP growth, (2) higher cost of funding; and (3) rising consumer-protection pressures which could affect pricing power.

ADEQUATE LIQUIDITY PROFILE

We regard Denizbank's liquidity profile as adequate. Denizbank's consolidated gross loan to deposit ratio is 106% (2013: 110%) which compares favourably with the system average of 120%. However, the bank's gross loan to deposit ratio in local currency at 178% compares unfavourably with 142% system average.

Furthermore, Denizbank is mainly short-term funded with the bulk of deposits in the up to three months bucket, versus just 20% of loan book maturing within three months and the remainder being medium to long-term lending. The bank mitigates these risks by holding an adequate portfolio of liquid assets that account for around 30% of its total balance sheet, half of which comprises Turkish government securities. The bank has also tapped capital markets with a covered bond of EUR 300 million that contributes to maturity extension and diversification of its funding source.

MODERATE ASSET QUALITY EXPECTED TO DETERIORATE

As at September-2014, the NPL ratio stood at 3.25% (2013: 2.8%), which is weaker than the system average of 2.9%, especially when we take into account the denominator effect of high loan growth rate in previous years of 46% in 2013 (2012: 25%) versus the system average of 32% and 16% respectively. In addition, about 3.4% of bank's loans were fewer than 90 days delinquent and/or whose payment terms had been modified and were not captured by the NPL ratios, indicating the potential for further asset-quality deterioration as they season.

We expect that asset quality will decline gradually and loan loss provisioning to increase, as the very high growth rates of loan book makes it unseasoned. However, we believe that problem-loan levels should remain manageable due to the bank's long track record and NPLs should remain within bank's provisioning capacity.

Global Local Currency Deposit Rating (Joint Default Analysis)

The Ba2/NP local-currency deposit ratings are supported by Denizbank's ba3 BCA and our assessment of a high probability of parental support from Sberbank, which provides one notch of uplift to the deposit rating.

Our assessment of a high probability of parental support is based on Denizbank's importance to Sberbank, underpinned, amongst other things, by (1) Sberbank's 99.85% ownership of Denizbank; (2) Sberbank's dedicated focus to increase its cross-border expansion, whereby Denizbank provides some diversification benefits; (3) both banks having commercial consumer-oriented banking franchises; and (4) Sberbank's supportive track record towards its subsidiaries.

Foreign Currency Deposit Rating

Denizbank's foreign-currency deposit ratings are Ba2/Not-prime and are in line with bank's GLC deposit ratings.

ABOUT MOODY'S BANK RATINGS

Bank Financial Strength Rating

Moody's Bank Financial Strength Ratings (BFSRs) represent Moody's opinion of a bank's intrinsic safety and soundness and, as such, exclude certain external credit risks and credit support elements that are addressed by Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings. Bank

Financial Strength Ratings do not take into account the probability that the bank will receive such external support, nor do they address risks arising from sovereign actions that may interfere with a bank's ability to honor its domestic or foreign currency obligations. Factors considered in the assignment of Bank Financial Strength Ratings include bank-specific elements such as financial fundamentals, franchise value, and business and asset diversification. Although Bank Financial Strength Ratings exclude the external factors specified above, they do take into account other risk factors in the bank's operating environment, including the strength and prospective performance of the economy, as well as the structure and relative fragility of the financial system, and the quality of banking regulation and supervision.

Moody's uses the Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA) to map BFSRs onto the 21-point Aaa-C rating scale and like the BFSR, it reflects a bank stand-alone default risk. Each point on the Aaa-C scale represents a specific probability of default and therefore allows Moody's to use the BCA as an input to Moody's Joint Default Analysis (JDA), described below. The baseline credit assessment reflects what the local currency deposit rating of the bank with the given BFSR would be without any assumed external support from a government or third party

Global Local Currency Deposit Rating

A deposit rating, as an opinion of relative credit risk, incorporates the Bank Financial Strength Rating as well as Moody's opinion of any external support. Specifically, Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings are opinions of a bank's ability to repay punctually its deposit obligations. As such, Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings are intended to incorporate those aspects of credit risk relevant to the prospective payment performance of rated banks with respect to deposit obligations, and includes: intrinsic financial strength, sovereign transfer risk (in the case of foreign currency deposit ratings), and both implicit and explicit external support elements. Moody's Bank Deposit Ratings do not take into account the benefit of deposit insurance schemes which make payments to depositors, but they do recognise the potential support from schemes that may provide assistance to banks directly. According to Moody's joint default analysis (JDA) methodology, the global local currency deposit rating of a bank is determined by the incorporation of any external elements of support into the bank's Baseline Credit Assessment. In assigning the local currency deposit rating to a bank, the JDA methodology also factors in the rating of the various potential support providers (parent company, cooperative group, regional or national governments), as well as the degree of dependence that may exist between each one of them and the bank. Moody's assessment of the probability of systemic support (by a national government) is derived from the analysis of the capacity of a government and its central bank to provide support on a system-wide basis. The systemic support indicator is determined for a particular country and serves as an input for all bank ratings in that country. The support indicator can be set at, above or, in rare cases, below the government's local currency bond rating for that country.

National Scale Rating

National scale ratings are intended primarily for use by domestic investors and are not comparable to Moody's globally applicable ratings; rather they address relative credit risk within a given country. A Aaa rating on Moody's National Scale indicates an issuer or issue with the strongest creditworthiness and the lowest likelihood of credit loss relative to other domestic issuers. National Scale Ratings, therefore, rank domestic issuers relative to each other and not relative to absolute default risks. National ratings isolate systemic risks; they do not address loss expectation associated with systemic events that could affect all issuers, even those that receive the highest ratings on the National Scale.

Foreign Currency Deposit Rating

Moody's ratings on foreign currency bank obligations derive from the bank's local currency rating for the same class of obligation. The implementation of JDA for banks can lead to high local currency ratings for certain banks,

which could also produce high foreign currency ratings. Nevertheless, it should be noted that foreign currency deposit ratings are in all cases constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bank deposits. This may result in the assignment of a different, and typically lower, rating for the foreign currency deposits relative to the bank's rating for local currency obligations.

Foreign Currency Debt Rating

Foreign currency debt ratings are derived from the bank's local currency debt rating. In a similar way to foreign currency deposit ratings, foreign currency debt ratings may also be constrained by the country ceiling for foreign currency bonds and notes; however, in some cases the ratings on foreign currency debt obligations may be allowed to pierce the foreign currency ceiling. A particular mix of rating factors are taken into consideration in order to assess whether a foreign currency bond rating pierces the country ceiling. They include the issuer's global local currency rating, the foreign currency government bond rating, the country ceiling for bonds and the debt's eligibility to pierce that ceiling.

About Moody's bank financial strength scorecard

Moody's bank financial strength model (see scorecard below) is a strategic input in the assessment of the financial strength of a bank, used as a key tool by Moody's analysts to ensure consistency of approach across banks and regions. The model output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity.

Rating Factors

DENIZBANK A.S.

Rating Factors [1]	A	B	C	D	E	Total Score	Trend
Qualitative Factors (70%)						D-	
Factor: Franchise Value						D+	Neutral
Market share and sustainability			x				
Geographical diversification				x			
Earnings stability				x			
Earnings Diversification [2]							
Factor: Risk Positioning						D	Neutral
Corporate Governance [2]							
- Ownership and Organizational Complexity							
- Key Man Risk							
- Insider and Related-Party Risks							
Controls and Risk Management							
- Risk Management			x				
- Controls				x			
Financial Reporting Transparency							
- Global Comparability		x					
- Frequency and Timeliness		x					
- Quality of Financial Information			x				
Credit Risk Concentration							
- Borrower Concentration					x		
- Industry Concentration		x			x		
Liquidity Management							
Market Risk Appetite							
Factor: Operating Environment						D	Weakening
Economic Stability							
Integrity and Corruption							
Legal System							
Financial Factors (30%)						C	

Factor: Profitability						C+	Weakening
PPI % Average RWA (Basel II)		3.22%					
Net Income % Average RWA (Basel II)			1.40%				
Factor: Liquidity						D	Weakening
(Market Funds - Liquid Assets) % Total Assets				10.46%			
Liquidity Management				x			
Factor: Capital Adequacy						B+	Weakening
Tier 1 Ratio (%) (Basel II)		8.95%					
Tangible Common Equity % RWA (Basel II)	8.52%						
Factor: Efficiency						B	Neutral
Cost / Income Ratio		47.99%					
Factor: Asset Quality						C	Weakening
Problem Loans % Gross Loans			3.05%				
Problem Loans % (Equity + LLR)			20.29%				
Lowest Combined Financial Factor Score (9%)						D	
<i>Economic Insolvency Override</i>						Neutral	
Aggregate BFSR Score						D	
Aggregate BCA Score						ba2	
Assigned BFSR						D-	
Assigned BCA						ba3	

[1] - Where dashes are shown for a particular factor (or sub-factor), the score is based on non-public information.
[2] - A blank score under Earnings Diversification or Corporate Governance indicates the risk is neutral.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moodys.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.



© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR

PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of

section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.